

Problem 1

Let $|v\rangle$ and $|w\rangle$ be any two nonzero vectors in an inner product space. We start by defining a vector orthogonal to $|w\rangle$, which will serve to project $|v\rangle$ onto a plane orthogonal to $|w\rangle$:

$$|u\rangle \equiv \left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{|w\rangle \langle w|}{\langle w|w\rangle} \right) |v\rangle . \quad (1)$$

One can check that indeed $\langle w|u\rangle = 0$. Now rearrange this as:

$$|v\rangle = |u\rangle + \frac{\langle w|v\rangle}{\langle w|w\rangle} |w\rangle , \quad (2)$$

and take the inner product with $\langle v|$ on the left side, and the expression for $|v\rangle$ in terms of $|u\rangle$ and $|w\rangle$ on the right side. We find:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v|v\rangle &= \left(\langle u| + \frac{\langle v|w\rangle}{\langle w|w\rangle} \langle w| \right) \left(|u\rangle + \frac{\langle w|v\rangle}{\langle w|w\rangle} |w\rangle \right) \\ &= \langle u|u\rangle + \frac{\langle v|w\rangle}{\langle w|w\rangle} \langle w|u\rangle + \frac{\langle w|v\rangle}{\langle w|w\rangle} \langle u|w\rangle + \frac{|\langle w|v\rangle|^2}{\langle w|w\rangle} \\ &= \langle u|u\rangle + \frac{|\langle w|v\rangle|^2}{\langle w|w\rangle} , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where in the last line we have made use of the orthogonality of $|u\rangle$ and $|w\rangle$. Thus we have:

$$\langle v|v\rangle = \langle u|u\rangle + \frac{|\langle w|v\rangle|^2}{\langle w|w\rangle} . \quad (4)$$

Since the norm of a vector is non-negative, it's always true that:

$$\langle v|v\rangle = \langle u|u\rangle + \frac{|\langle w|v\rangle|^2}{\langle w|w\rangle} \geq \frac{|\langle w|v\rangle|^2}{\langle w|w\rangle} , \quad (5)$$

with the equal sign for the case that $\langle u|u\rangle = 0$. Multiplying each side by $\langle w|w\rangle$ then taking the square root, we arrive at the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$||v|| \cdot ||w|| \geq |\langle v|w\rangle| . \quad (6)$$

Problem 2

First, let's recall how the Gram-Schmidt procedure works. Starting from a given set of basis vectors $\{|e_i\rangle\}$, we construct a new orthogonal basis $\{|u_i\rangle\}$, where the k -th vector is determined by the previous $k - 1$ vectors:

$$|u_k\rangle = |e_k\rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle u_i | e_k \rangle}{\langle u_i | u_i \rangle} |u_i\rangle . \quad (7)$$

This guarantees the basis is orthogonal. (Note that this always gives $|u_1\rangle = |e_1\rangle$.) Finally, to get an orthonormal basis $\{|v_i\rangle\}$ we need to normalize each vector

$$|v_k\rangle = \frac{|u_k\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle u_k | u_k \rangle}} . \quad (8)$$

(i)

Carrying out the procedure outlined above on the vectors

$$|e_1\rangle = (1, 1, 1), \quad |e_2\rangle = (1, 1, 0), \quad |e_3\rangle = (1, 0, 1), \quad (9)$$

we find the orthonormal basis:

$$|v_1\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} (1, 1, 1), \quad |v_2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} (1, 1, -2), \quad |v_3\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (1, -1, 0). \quad (10)$$

(ii)

For normalizable functions on $[-1, 1]$ with the standard inner product, we can start with a basis $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ with $\langle x | e_k \rangle = e_k(x) = x^k$. After applying Gram-Schmidt, we obtain the orthonormal basis:

$$p_0(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad p_1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x, \quad p_2(x) = \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}\left(x^2 - \frac{1}{3}\right), \quad p_3(x) = \sqrt{\frac{7}{2}}\left(\frac{5}{2}x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x\right) \dots \quad (11)$$

(iii)

We define the weighted inner product:

$$\langle f | g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(x) g(x) e^{-x^2} dx . \quad (12)$$

Homework 2 Solutions

PHYS 341
October 19, 2021

In order to verify that this defines an inner product on functions from $(-\infty, \infty)$, we must check 3 properties:

$$\begin{aligned}(1) \quad & \langle f|g \rangle = \langle g|f \rangle^* \\(2) \quad & \langle f|(a_1 g_1 + a_2 g_2) \rangle = a_1 \langle f|g_1 \rangle + a_2 \langle f|g_2 \rangle \\(3) \quad & \langle f|f \rangle \geq 0, \text{ with } \langle f|f \rangle = 0 \text{ if and only if } f \equiv 0.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

(1) follows from the fact that $(f(x)^*)^* = f(x)$, while (2) follows from the linearity of integrals. (3) is a simple consequence of the fact that the integrand $|f(x)|^2 e^{-x^2}$ is positive semi-definite, and so the integral must be also. Also, the integral of a non-negative quantity can only vanish if the integrand does.

With this inner product, it is easy to compute

$$\langle x^2|x^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x^2)^2 e^{-x^2} dx = \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4} < \infty, \tag{14}$$

so we see that x^2 is indeed normalizable. In the above, I made use of the fact that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n e^{-x^2} dx = \int_0^{\infty} y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-y} dy = \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right), \tag{15}$$

where the Euler Gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ satisfies $x\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(x+1)$ and $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$. These facts will greatly simplify the integrals you'll need to perform below.

Again, starting with the same basis from part (ii), namely $e_k(x) = x^k$, we can build the following orthonormal basis:

$$p_0(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}}, \quad p_1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}} x, \quad p_2(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}} \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \dots \tag{16}$$

Problem 3

We would like to prove that a matrix can be diagonalized by similarity transform if and only if it is normal. Let M be a normal matrix—*i.e.* a matrix which commutes with its Hermitian conjugate:

$$[M, M^\dagger] = 0. \quad (17)$$

Any matrix can be decomposed as $M = A + iB$, with A and B Hermitian. The normality condition then implies:

$$[M, M^\dagger] = [A + iB, A - iB] = -2i[A, B] = 0 \Rightarrow [A, B] = 0. \quad (18)$$

Since A and B are commuting Hermitian matrices, they can be simultaneously diagonalized by a similarity transformation:

$$D_A = U^{-1}AU, \quad D_B = U^{-1}BU, \quad (19)$$

with D_A and D_B diagonal. The fact that M is diagonalizable directly follows:

$$U^{-1}MU = U^{-1}(A + iB)U = U^{-1}AU + iU^{-1}BU = D_A + iD_B \equiv D_M, \quad (20)$$

with D_M diagonal.

Just for fun, let's prove the other side of the iff too. Suppose we have a matrix M which can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix U via a similarity transformation as:

$$D_M = U^{-1}MU, \quad (21)$$

with D_M diagonal. Taking the Hermitian conjugate of both sides, we have:

$$D_M^\dagger = (U^{-1}MU)^\dagger = U^\dagger M^\dagger (U^{-1})^\dagger = U^{-1}M^\dagger U, \quad (22)$$

where we've used the property $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$. We see that the same U which diagonalizes M also diagonalizes M^\dagger . To show M is normal, write:

$$\begin{aligned} [M, M^\dagger] &= [UD_M U^{-1}, UD_M^\dagger U^{-1}] \\ &= UD_M U^{-1} UD_M^\dagger U^{-1} - UD_M^\dagger U^{-1} UD_M U^{-1} \\ &= UD_M D_M^\dagger U^{-1} = UD_M^\dagger D_M U^{-1} \\ &= U[D_M, D_M^\dagger]U^{-1} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where in the last line we've made use the fact that diagonal matrices always commute. Thus, a matrix M which can be diagonalized by a similarity transform satisfies $[M, M^\dagger] = 0$ and so is normal.

Problem 4

The inner product space $V = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mid \text{finitely many } x_i \neq 0\}$ with $\langle x|y \rangle = \sum_k x_k^* y_k$ is not a Hilbert space since it is not *complete*. To demonstrate this, we must show there exists a Cauchy sequence in V which converges to a point not in V . There are infinitely many; take for instance the following sequence:

$$|x^{(k)}\rangle = (x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}, x_3^{(k)}, \dots), \quad \text{where } x_j^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 2^{-j/2}, & j \leq k \\ 0, & j > k \end{cases}. \quad (24)$$

We'll now show that $\{|x^{(k)}\rangle\}$ converges to

$$|y\rangle = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots), \quad \text{where } y_j = 2^{-j/2}, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (25)$$

To see this, consider

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle y - x^{(k)} | y - x^{(k)} \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k} = 0. \quad (26)$$

So, indeed the sequence $\{|x^{(k)}\rangle\}$ converges to $|y\rangle$, but $|y\rangle \notin V$ since it contains an infinite number of non-zero entries. Thus, V is not a complete metric space, and so it is not a Hilbert space.